

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,090	04/19/2007	Ron D. Katznelson	90251U	4375
20529 7590 04/01/2009 THE NATH LAW GROUP 112 South West Street			EXAMINER	
			CHOKSHI, PINKAL R	
Alexandria, V	Alexandria, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2425	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/556.090 KATZNELSON, RON D. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit PINKAL CHOKSHI 2425 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 February 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/556,090 Page 2

Art Unit: 2425

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 02/10/2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Davies. See the new rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the International application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by WO Publication 02/089479 to Davies (hereafter referenced as Davies).

Regarding claim 1, "a method for providing television services from a head-end to subscriber premises" reads on an audio/video content device with a storage unit (PVR) that receives and stores television content (abstract and pg.7, lines 1-8) disclosed by Davies and represented in Fig. 2 (element 140).

As to "method comprising the steps of: receiving by a first subscriber terminal via a first transmission link that is coupled to the first subscriber terminal.

Art Unit: 2425

a first television service that was transmitted from the head-end" Davies discloses (pg.12, lines 3-20) that the PVR receives broadcast signals from an head-end as represented in Fig. 4 (element 435).

As to "transmitting system information data specifying attributes of at least one local television channel to a second subscriber terminal coupled to the first subscriber terminal via a second transmission link, wherein the at least one local television channel has a frequency that is unused on the first transmission link by the head-end" Davies discloses (pg.32, lines 3-16) that the PVR transmits broadcast signal to STB using an out of band frequency. Davies further discloses (pg.26, line 22-pg.27, line 5) that the control data (EPG) is transmitted to a STB using out of band frequency as represented in Fig. 5.

As to "transmitting the first television service by the first subscriber terminal, on one of the at least one local television channel via the second transmission link, to the second subscriber terminal that is located at the subscriber premises" Davies discloses (pg.32, lines 1-16) that the PVR communicates broadcast signals, received and stored in storage unit of PVR, to STB using an out of band communications path as presented in Fig. 4.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PINKAL CHOKSHI whose telephone number is (571) Application/Control Number: 10/556,090

Art Unit: 2425

270-3317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 - 5 pm (Alt.

Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brian Pendleton can be reached on 571-272-7527. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

·

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Pinkal Chokshi/

Examiner, Art Unit 2425

/Brian T. Pendleton/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425